DRAFT NOV 0 3 1390 CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

size Name: Monsanto Co.
Date: 5/21/91 02-90052

2115 22211 11 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	SITE	SCORE	CALCUL	MOTTA
--	------	-------	--------	-------

,		02 1
	S	S ₃
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S,):	22.44	503.55
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S,_):	2.04	4.16
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE (5,2):	2.6	6.76
AIR PATHWAY SCORE (S.):	2.73	7.45
SITE SCORE:	$\sqrt{\frac{S_{gu}^2 + S_{su}^2 + S_{su}^2 + S_{su}^2}{4}}$	11.42

DECLASSIFIED

DRAFT

CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Site Name: MDUSANTO Co.
Date: 5/2/191

NOV 06 1990

PA TABLE 1: WASTE CHARACTERISTICS (WC) SCORES

PA Table 1a: WC Scores for Single Source Sites and Formulas for Multiple Source Sites

.02-9005-0-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Source Sites		
T ·	COURGE TYPE	SINGLE	SOURCE SITES (assigned WC	scores)	MULTIPLE SOURCE SITES
E R	SOURCE TYPE	WC = 18	WC = 32	WC = 100	Formula for Assigning Source WQ Values
00291-1-2WZ1	N/A	≤ 100 lbs	> 100 to 10,000 lbs	> 10,000 lbs	16 s + 1
*451-851-884	N/A	≤ 500,000 lbs	> 500,000 to 50 million ibs	> 50 million lbs	/bs + 5.000
> 0 L U M E	Landfill Surface impoundment Drums Tanks and non-drum containers Contaminated soil	≤6.75 million ft ² ≤250,000 yd ³ ≤6.750 ft ² ≤250 yd ³ ≤1.000 drums ≤50,000 gailons ≤6.75 million ft ² ≤250,000 yd ³ ≤6,750 ft ²	> 6.75 million ft ² to 675 million ft ² > 250.000 to 25 million yd ² > 6.750 ft ² to 675,000 ft ² > 250 to 25,000 yd ² > 1.000 to 100,000 drums > 50,000 to 5 million gallons > 6.75 million ft ² to 675 million ft ² > 250.000 to 25 million yd ² > 6.750 ft ² to 675,000 ft ²	> 675 million ft ² > 25 million yd ² > 675,000 ft ² > 25,000 yd ² > 100,000 drums > 5 million getons > 675 million ft ² > 25 million yd ² > 675,000 ft ²	$fr^{2} + 67.500$ $ya^{2} + 2.500$ $fr^{2} + 67.5$ $ya^{2} + 2.5$ $drums + 10$ $gallons - 500$ $fr^{2} + 67.500$ $ya^{2} + 2.500$ $fr^{2} + 67.5$
AREA	Landfill Surface impoundment Cantaminated soil Pile*	≤250 vd ³ ≤340,000 ft ¹ ≤7.8 acres ≤1,300 ft ² ≤0.029 acres ≤3.4 million ft ³ ≤78 acres ≤1,300 ft ²	> 250 to 25,000 yd ³ > 340,000 to 34 million ft ² > 7.8 to 780 ecres > 1.300 to 130,000 ft ² > 0.029 to 2.9 ecres > 3.4 million to 340 million ft ² > 78 to 7,800 ecres > 1.300 to 130,000 ft ³	> 25,000 yd ³ > 34 million ft ² > 780 ecree > 130,000 ft ² > 2.9 ecree > 340 million ft ² > 7,800 ecree > 130,000 ft ²	$yd^3 + 2.5$ $fr^2 + 3.400$ $acres + 0.078$ $fr^2 + 13$ $acres - 0.00029$ $fr^2 + 34.000$ $acres - 0.78$ $fr^2 + 13$
	Land treatment	≤0.029 acres ≤27,000 ft ² ≤0.02 acres	>0.029 to 2.9 seres >27,000 to 2.7 million ft ² >0.62 to 62 seres.	> 2.9 acres > 2.7 million ft ² > 42 acres	acres — 0.00029 fr + 270 acres — 0.0062

¹ ton = 2.000 lbs = 1 yd2 = 4 drums = 200 gallons

PA Table 1b: WC Scores for Multiple Source Sites

WQ Total	WC Scare
>0 to 100	18
>100 to 10,000	32
> 10.000	100

Use area of land surface under pile, not surface area of pile.

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEET		24to Co. 02-90	nζ
Do you suspect a release		00-10	-
Pathway Characteristics Do you suspect a release (see Ground Water Pathway Criteria List, page 7)? Is the site located in karst terrain? Depth to aquifer: Distance to the nearest drinking-water well:	Yes Yes		7
	A	1700 FF.	-!
KELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected	No Suspected	7 !
SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to ground water (see page 7), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway.	Release 550	Release	
NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to ground water, and the site is in warst terrain or the depth to aquifer is 70 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score of 340. Use only column 8 for this pathway.		3.0 a 3404	-
RGETS	550		
PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by prinking water from wells that you suspect have been exposed to hazardous substances from the site (see Ground Water Pathway Criteria List, page 7).			
SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by drinking water from wells that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to hazardous substances from the site, and assign the total population score from PA Table 2. Are any wells part of a blended system? Yes No	164		•
res. attach a page to snow apportionment calculations.			1
	18	10 . 8 . 8 . 9 . 02	-
a designated WHPA is within % mile of the site; assign 5 if from % to 4 miles.	. XX. 5, - C C		
RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned.	.H 5	5	-
STE CHARACTERISTICS	187		
A. If you have identified any Primary Targets for ground water, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.	(100 - 33)		
3. If you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for ground water, assign the	1100.32. # 100	. 20 11 ⊕ m	
waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.	18	ŧ.	

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:

LR x T x WC 82,500

22.44

Monsanto Co.

PA Table 2a: Non-Karst Aquifers

		Nearest			Рор	ulation Se	rved by M	lalls Withi	n Distance	Category	<u> </u>		
		Well	1	"	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	i
Distance		(choose	10	to	lo	10	to	€υ	ίυ	10	10	ťυ	Population
from Site	Population	highest)	10	30	100	300	1.000	3,000	10,000	30,000	100 000	100,000	Valve
0 to % mile	0	20	1	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> % to % mile	4	18		1	3	10	32	101	,323	1,012	3,233	10,121	
>% to 1 mile	<u> </u>	9	ĭ	1	2	5	17	52	167	522	1,668	5,224	
> 1 to 2 miles	8277	5	1	1	1	3	9	29	94	294	939	2,938	94
> 2 to 3 miles	8266	3	ı	1	1	2	7	21	(68)	212	678	2,122	68
>3 to 4 miles	57	2	1	1	(1)	1	4	13	42	131	417	1,306	1 1
Ne	arest Well =	18								,	S	core =	- 164

PA Table 2b: Karst Aquifers

	14/-4/								Category			
	Well		11	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	
	luse 20	ie.	to	to	te.	to	lo	10	te	10	ťυ	Population
Population	(or karst)	. 10	30	100	300	1,000	3,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	100,000	Value
	20	1	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5;214	16,325	
	20	1	1	3	10	32	101	323	1,012	3,233	10,121	
	20	1	1.1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	- ~
	20	ı	1	3	8	26	8.2	261	816	2,607	8,162	
	20	1	1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	-
	20	1	1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	
		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20 1 20 20	Population for karst) 10 30 20 1 2 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1	Population for karst) 10 30 100 20 1 2 5 20 1 1 3 20 1 1 3 20 1 1 3 20 1 1 3 20 1 1 3 20 1 1 3	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 20 1 2 5 16 20 1 1 3 10 20 1 1 3 8 20 1 1 3 8 20 1 1 3 8 20 1 1 3 8 20 1 1 3 8	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 20 1 2 5 16 52 20 1 1 3 10 32 20 1 1 3 8 26 20 1 1 3 8 26 20 1 1 3 8 26 20 1 1 3 8 26	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 3,000 20 1 2 5 16 52 163 20 1 1 3 10 32 101 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 20 1 1 3 8 26 82	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 3,000 10,000 20 1 2 5 16 52 163 521 20 1 1 3 10 32 101 323 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 3,000 10,000 30,000 20 1 2 5 16 52 163 521 1,633 20 1 1 3 10 32 101 323 1,012 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 3,000 10,000 30,000 100,000 20 1 2 5 16 52 163 521 1,633 5;214 20 1 1 3 10 32 101 323 1,012 3,233 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 2,607 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 2,607 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 2,607 20 1 1 3 8 26 82 261 816 2,607	Population for karst) 10 30 100 300 1,000 3,000 10,000 30,000 100,000

DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Site Name: Monsanto Cate: 5/21/91

02-9005-0

NOV 06 1990

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE AND DRINKING WATER THREAT SCORESHEET

Pathway Characteristics		7
Do you suspect a release (see Surface Water Pathway Criteria List, page 1997) Distance to surface water:	res V	400-
Flood Frequency: What is the downstream distance to the hearest drinking-water intake? NA miles		500
nearest tisnery? Apriles rearest sensitive environment? WA miles		

		A	8	
HIVELIUOOD OF BELFACE	Se	Spected	No Suspected	
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	/	Roleese	Release	References
1 SUCCEPTED DELEASE W		1600		
SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to surface water	(see page 11),	50		17 N
assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway.				12,17
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to sur		İ	500.400.000 at 1001	•
the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score	ace water, and			
wise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for	the corpus			
	triis patriway.			
Floodain Score				
Site in annual or 10-vr floodplain 500				
Site in 100-yr floodplain 400				
Site in 500-yr floodplain 300				
Site outside 500-yr floodplain i 100	,			
		. 1967	:500,400,300 ar 1008	
	LR = 5	350 I		
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS				
	 			
3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and numbe				
by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance lin	nit. If there are no	***		
prinking-water intakes within the target distance limit, assign a to	tal Targets score			
of 5 at the bottom of this page (Resources only) and proceed to p	age 14.	: " :		
Intake Name Water Body Type Flow	People Served			
	cfs			
	cfs			
	cfs			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: If you suspect any drinking-wi	eter intake listed		1.	
above has been exposed to hazardous substances from the site (see Surface Water			
Pathway Criteria List, page 11), list the intake name(s) and calcul				
score based on the number of people served.				
	 ' .		·	
	_ people × 10 =			
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the Secondary	Target			
Population score from PA Table 3 based on the populations using	drinking-water	i		
from intakes that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to haz	ardous			
substances from the site.				
Are any intakes part of a blended system? Yes N				
	·			
 If yes, attach a page to show apportionment calculations. 	· j		· - · · - · · ·	
5. NEAREST INTAKE: If you have identified any Primary Targets fo		1.20.10.2.1. = OI	;20,10,2,1, = U	
water threat (Factor 4), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign t	ne Masses interes			
score from PA Table 3. If no drinking-water intake exists within	the 15 mile target	\cup		
distance limit, assign a score of zero.	rie ionine raider			
Statemen mints design a source of Colo.		:51	51	. ——
7. RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned.		5	5	
		-		•

T = 1

Site Name: MONSquto Co.
Date: 5/21/91

PA TABLE 3: VALUES FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER TARGET POPULATIONS

02-9005-07	1
------------	---

Surface Water		Nearest				Population	Served by	Intakes	Within Flo	w Catago	Υ			
Body Flow Characteristics (see PA Table 4)	Population	Intake (choose highest)	1 10 30	31 to 100	101 10 300	301 to 1,000	1,001 to 3,000	3,001 to 10,000	10,001 10 30,000	30,001 10 100,000	100,001 ta 300,000	300,001 10 1,000,000	1,000,001 to 1 000,000	Population
< 10 cfe		20	2	5	16	52	163	521	. 1,633	5,214	16,325	52,136	163,246	
10 to 100 cfe		2	1	1	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> 100 to 1,000 cfs		1	0	٥	1	1	2	5	16	52	163	521 ,	1,633	
> 1,000 to 10,000 cfs		0	٥	٥	o	o	, 1	1	2	5	16	52	163	A 2000 TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF
> 10,000 cts or Great Lakas		o	0	0	0	Ο.	o	0	1	ι	2	5	16	
3-nule Mixing Zone		10	1	3	B	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	26,068	81,663	· ·
Neare	st Intake =											S	core -	

PA TABLE 4: SURFACE WATER TYPE / FLOW CHARACTERISTICS WITH DILUTION WEIGHTS FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Type of Su	riace Water Body	Dilution
Water Body Type	OR Flow Characteristics	Weight
minimal stream	flow less than 10 cfs	1
mante etanodom of liams	flow 10 to 100 cfs	0.1
moderate to large stream	flow greater than 100 to 1,000 cfs	N/A
large stream to river	flow greater than 1,000 to 10,000 cfs	N/A
large river	flow greater than 10,000 cfs	N/A
3 mile mixing zone of quiet flowing streams or rivers	flow 10 cls or greater	N/A
coastal tidal water (harbors, sounds, bays, etc.), ocean, or Great Lakos	N/A	N/A

NOV 06 1990

DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE Site Name: MOUSque Co. Date: 5/21/91 SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (CONTRIGED) SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (continued) HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT SCORESHEET

02-9005-07

HUMAN FOOD CE 3. Determine the withe 15-mile targe	et distance limit. If there a sign a Targets score of O		550	.500.400.300 @ 1001	
3. Determine the withe 15-mile targe distance limit, as proceed to page Fishery Name	ater body types and flows at distance limit. If there a sign a Targets score of 0 15.	Water Body Type Flow [21/07] 710,000 cfs			
the 15-mile targe distance limit, as proceed to page	et distance limit. If there a sign a Targets score of 0 15.	Water Body Type Flow [21/00] 710,000 cfs cfs			
	River				
Delaware	River		Separation of the second		•
				,	
		cfs			
			(200 er 0)		
to hazardous sub	stances from the site (see	fishery listed above has been exposed a Surface Water Criteria List, page 11), Factor 10. List the Primary Fisheries:			
assign a Second	SHERIES: If you have not ary Fisheries score from the thin the 15-mile target dis	identified any Primary Fisheries, ne table below using the LOWEST flow stance limit.	(210.30.12 w O	.210.300.12, ar us	
•	Lowest Flow	Secondary Fisheries Score	1 10		
	< 10 cfs	210	12		
	10 to 100 cfs	30			
	> 100 cfs, coastal tidal waters, oceans, or Great Lakes	12			
	<u> </u>				
-		Т	= 1300,210,30,12 = 0	1210 30,12 er ⊶	

DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE NOV 0 6 1990

Site Name: Mousanto Co.
Date:
Conued) 5/2/91

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (continued) ENVIRONMENTAL THREAT SCORESHEET

02-9005-07

HKELIHOOD OF B				A	8	
LIKELIHOOD OF R				Suspected Release	No Suspected	2010
nter the Surface Wat	er Likelihood of Relea	se score from page 12.	LR =	55D	500 400.300 e 100i	
NVIRONMENTAL	THREAT TARGET	S		7.00		
and 5). If there a	18 00 sansum on 91	ows (if applicable) for all surface wa file target distance limit (see PA Tab aments within the 15-mile target dis bottom of this page, and proceed to	ies 4			
Environment Nam	10	Water Body Type Flow				
		7100	_cfs	we s		
			cfs cfs			
. PRIMARY SENSIT	V5 510 45 5	If you suspect any sensitive enviro		1,300 at 0)		
Factor 13. List the	eria List, page 11), as e Primary Sensitive En		(see			
2200110UU 1 3EM	SOUTH ON THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	. 3 :	i	,		
A. For Secondary	Sensitive Environment assign scores as follo	is on surface water bodies with flow ows, and do not evaluate part 8 of	vs of			
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less	Sensitive Environment assign scores as folio Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	s on surface water bodies with flow ows, and do not evaluate part B of Environment Type and Value				
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less, this factor:	Dilution Weight	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)	vs of			
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less, this factor:	Dilution Weight	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)				
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less, this factor: Flow cfs	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)				
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less, this factor: Flow cfs cfs	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)				
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less, this factor: Flow cfs cfs cfs	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)				
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less this factor: Flow cfs cfs cfs cfs	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6) (Constituting the second	Fotal			
A. For Secondary 100 cfs or less this factor: Flow cfs cfs cfs cfs cfs	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fotal	110 · · · · · ·	. O = Os	

NOV 06 1990

CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Site Name: MOUSauto Co.
Date: 5/2/1/9/

02-9005-07

PA TABLE 5: SURFACE WATER AND AIR SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS VALUES

Sensitive Environment	Assigned Value
Critical habitat for Federally designated andangered or threatened species	, 0 0
Manna Sanctuary	
Vational Park	•
Designated Federal Wilderness Area	
cologically important areas identified under the Coastal Zone Wilderness Act	
ensitive Areas identified under the National Estuary Program or Near Coastal Water Program of the Clean Water	er Act
critical Areas Identified under the Clean Lakes Program of the Clean Water Act (subgress in lakes or entire sma	nt lakes)
National Monument	
Vational Seasonre Recreation Area	
National Lakeshore Recreation Area	
Habitat known to be used by Federally designated or proposed endangered or threatened species	75
lational Preserve	•
lational or State Wildlife Refuge	
Init of Coastal Berner Resources System	
ederal land designated for the protection of natural ecosystems	
Administratively Proposed Federal Wilderness Area	
Spawning areas critical for the maintenance of fish/shellfish species within a river system, pay or estuary	
vligratory pathways and feeding greas critical for the maintenance of anadromous fish species in a river system	m
Ferrestrial areas utilized by large or dense aggregations of vertebrate animals (semi-equatic foragers) for breed	ing .
National river reach designated as recreational	
Habitat known to be used by State designated endangered or threatened species	50
Habitat known to be used by a species under review as to its Federal endangered or threatened status	
Coastal Berrier (partially developed)	
Federally designated Scenic or Wild River	
State land designated for wildlife or game management	25
State designated Sce nic or Wild River	
State designated Natural Area	
Particular areas, relatively small in size, important to maintenance of unique biotic communities	
State designated areas for the protection/maintenance of aquatic life under the Clean Water Act	5
	(Surface Water Pathway
Wetlands	or .
PA Tabl	le 9 (Air Pathwavi

PA TABLE 6: SURFACE WATER WETLANDS FRONTAGE VALUES

Total Length of Wetlands	Assigned Value
Less than 0.1 mile	0
0.1 to 1 mile	25
Greater then 1 to 2 miles.	50
Greater then 2 to 3 miles	75
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	100
Greater than 4 to 8 miles	150
Greater than 8 to 12 miles	250
Greater than 12 to 16 miles	350
Greater than 16 to 20 miles	450
Greater than 20 miles	500

DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Site Name: Mousauto Co.

NOV 06 1390

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (concluded)

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS, THREAT, AND PATHWAY SCORE SUMMARY

	A .	В
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release
14. A. if you have identified ANY Primary Targets for surface water lpages 12, 14, or 15), assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.	.O ⊕ 121	
If you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for surface water, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.	18	· .0 32, @ 161
WC =	18	

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY THREAT SCORES

Threat	Likelihood of Release (LR) Score (from page 12)	Targets (T) Score	Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score (determined above)	Threat Score LR # T x WC / 82.500
Drinking Water	550	5	18	0.60
Human Food Chain	550	12	(8	1.44
Environmental	550	D	18	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE (Drinking Water Threat + Human Food Chain Threat + Environmental Threat)

	1590	SOIL EXP	OSURE PATHWA	Y SCORESHEET	312	191 02-
			Pathway Character	nstics		
	Do any people live on	of within 200	ft of areas of suspe	Cted contamination	? Ye	s No
	no qua beobie attend	school or day	care on or within 20	Oft of areas		
	of suspected cont	(amination)				.s No
	Is the racdity active?	Yes No	if yes, estima	ite the number of w	rorkers:	
					Α	8
				•	Suspected	No Suspected
KELIHO	OD OF EXPOSURE	•	•	•	-,	n Contamination
CLICAE	CTER CONTAINS				140)	
Ascor	CTED CONTAMINATI e of 550 is assigned.	ON: Surficial of	contamination is assu			
3001	c or see is assigned.		•	LE =	- 5 50	
SIDEN	T POPULATION TH	IDEAT TARC	ere			
	J. JEATION IF	THEAT TANG	1513	·		
RESID	ENT POPULATION: D	etermine the ni	umber of beadle acci	unvina residences		
or atte	inding school or day ca	are on or within	a 200 feet of areas o	f suspected		
contar	mination (see Soil Expo	sure Pathway	Criteria List, page 18	()		i
			Cittana Citt, page 10	people x 10 :		
					×2 = 33	
HE210	ENT INDIVIDUAL: If y	ou have identif	fied any Resident Por	oulation (Factor 2).		i
					1 1 /	
assign	a score of 50; otherw	vise, assign a s	scare of O.			
			scare of O.		[18, 10, 8, ar of	
WORK	ERS: Assign a score	from the follow	core of O. ving table based on ti	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score rs at the facility and n	from the follow learby facilities	core of O. ving table based on ti with suspected cont	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score rs at the facility and n	from the follow learby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected contacts Score	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score rs at the facility and n Mamber of VA	from the follow learby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected contact Score O	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score of the facility and not the fac	from the follow learby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected contents of the Scare of the Sc	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score of the facility and not seen the facility and not	from the follow learby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected contents Score 0 5 10	he total number of		
WORK	ERS: Assign a score of the facility and not the fac	from the follow learby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected contents of the Scare of the Sc	he total number of		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score or at the facility and no	from the followsearby facilities	ving table based on the with suspected control of the suspected control	he total number of tamination:		
WORK	FERS: Assign a score of the facility and not the facility and not to 100 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000	from the follow learby facilities before	ving table based on the with suspected contents of the Scare of the Sc	the total number of tamination:		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score or at the facility and no	from the follow learby facilities before	ving table based on the with suspected contents of the Scare of the Sc	the total number of tamination:		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected		
WORK worke	FERS: Assign a score of the facility and in the facility and in 0 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 10.	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination:		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected		
WORK worke	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities briess 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	116, 10, 6, 44 08	
WORK worked	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the facility of the familiar of the	from the follow learby facilities bries DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS environment to	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	(15, 10, 5, a di	
WORK worker	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the O 1 to 100 101 to 1.00 > 1.000 ESTRIAL SENSITIVE EIGH terrestrial sensitive minations:	from the follow learby facilities bries DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS environment to	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	116, 10, 6, 44 08	
WORK worker	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the facility of the familiar of the	from the follow learby facilities bries DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS environment to	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	(15, 10, 5, a di	
TERRE for eacontain	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the facility of the familiar of the	from the follow learby facilities bries DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS environment to	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	115. 10. 5. a di	
TERRE for eacontain	ERS: Assign a score is at the facility and no literal	from the follow learby facilities briters: DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS: environment ti Environment T	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	115. 10. 5. a di	
TERRE for eacontain	ERS: Assign a score in at the facility and in familiar of the facility of the familiar of the	from the follow learby facilities briters: DOO DOO NVIRONMENTS: environment ti Environment T	ving table based on the with suspected control Score 0 5 10 15 Score 15 Sco	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	115. 10. 5. a di	
TERRE for eacontain	ERS: Assign a score is at the facility and no literal	from the followiearby facilities barkers: 0 000 0 NVIRONMENTS: environment ti	ving table based on the with suspected control of the suspected control	the total number of tamination: om PA Table 7. area of suspected	115. 10. 5. a di	

LE x T x WC 82.500

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:
Resident Population Threat + Nearby Population Threat

RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE:

NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:

Assign a score of 2

2.60

.60

DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE Site NOV 08 1990 Date AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEET

Narr	10 Mousa 5/21/91		joos-07
	Yes	No V	2
	Suspected Release	B No Suspected Release	References
	3 508		
		500	
₹ =	;	500	
	/		
) =			
n		18	2
	i 90, 20, 7, 2, 1, er ùs	20.7.2.1. # 04	7.
21).		12 : 13 :	

Pathway Characteristics Do you suspect a release (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 21)? Distance to the nearest individual: LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE 1. SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to air (see page 21), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway. 2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to air, assign a score of 500, and use only column 8 for this pathway. LF **TARGETS** 3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people subject to exposure from a release of hazardous substances through the air (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 21). people x 10 4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people within the 4-mile target distance limit, and assign the total population score from PA Table 8. 5. NEAREST INDIVIDUAL: If you have identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the highest Nearest Individual score from PA Table 8. 6. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Sum the sensitive environment values (PA Table 5) and wetland acreage values (PA Table 9) for environments subject to exposure from air hazardous substances (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 2 Sensitive Environment Type Value Sum = 7. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Use PA Table 10 to determine the score for secondary sensitive environments. 8. RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned. T = WASTE CHARACTERISTICS 9. A. If you have identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor. B. If you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign the 1100.32 m 18 waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.

AIR PATHWAY SCORE:

LR x T x WC 82,500

WC -

2.73

Site Name: MOUSauto Co. Date: 5/2/191

Score =

PA TABLE 8: VALUES FOR SECONDARY AIR TARGET POPULATIONS

		Negrost		7			Papulation	Within D	stance Ca	lagory	······································	······································	*************	02-1	005-07
Distance from Site	Population	Individual (choose highest)	1 10	11 to 30	J1 to 100	101 10 300	301 to 1.000	1,001 to 3,000	1.001 10 10,000	10,001 10 10 000	30,001 10 100,000	100,001 10 300,000	300,001 to	1,000,001 to	Population
Onsite	$\frac{D}{\Omega}$	30	1	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	52,136	163,246	
>0 to % male	725	\$0	1	1	1	1	13	41	130	408	1,303	4,081	13,034	40,811	,
> K to X mile	100 CC	(2)	0	0	1	1	3	9	28	88	282	882	2,815	8,815	
> % to 1 mule	2604	1	0	٥	٥	,	1	(3)	8	26	83	261	834	2,612	3
> 1 to 2 miles	14843	o	o	o	o	0	1) -	3	(B)	27	83	266	833	8
>2 to 3 miles	23877	O	. 0	0	0	0	ı	1	1	$\langle \rangle$. 12	38	120	376	4
3 to 4 miles	24702	_ 0	o	٥	0	0	۵	, l		$\widetilde{I_2}$,	23	73	229	7

FOR WETLAND AREA

Abdand Area	stance Vete
Less than 1 acre	0
l to 50 acres	25
Greater than 50 to 100 acres	75
Greater than 100 to 150 acres	125
Greater than 150 to 200 acres	175
Greater than 200 to 300 acres	250
Greater than 300 to 400 acres	350
Greater than 400 to 500 acres	450
Greater than 500 pcres	500

PA TABLE 10: DISTANCE WEIGHTS AND CALCULATIONS FOR AIR PATHWAY SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

red er
 -
